



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/492,811 | 01/28/2000 | John W. Becker | 7436.100A | 1405 |

7590 11/26/2002

Thomas P. Liniak
MYERS LINIAK & BERENATO
5550 Rock Spring Drive
Suite 240
Bethesda, MD 20817

EXAMINER

DESAI, HEMANT

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3721

DATE MAILED: 11/26/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

S.M.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/492,811 | BECKER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Hemant M Desai | 3721 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hemant M Desai. (3) Thomas Liniak.
 (2) John Sipos. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 November 2002.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: Applicant's representative shown and demonstrated the ability of flexible container to fold at varying heights.

Claim(s) discussed: of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shea ('087), Aghassipour ('320), Mc Cord ('749).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant stated that the prior art does not show inner container made of a flexible material that can be folded in varying heights with the foldable top over the product. Request for reconsideration will be submitted and the rejection will be reconsidered.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required